SENATE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

Senator Aisha Wahab, Chair 2023 - 2024 Regular

Bill No: AB 2309 **Hearing Date:** July 2, 2024

Author: Muratsuchi **Version:** June 19, 2024

Urgency: No Fiscal: No

Consultant: MK

Subject: City attorney: state law: misdemeanor

HISTORY

Source: City of Manhattan Beach

Prior Legislation: None

Support: Beverly Hills Chamber of Commerce; City of Avalon; City of Mission Viejo;

City of Orange; City of Santa Clarita; City of Stanton; League of California

Cities; Los Angeles County Division, League of California Cities

Opposition: ACLU California Action; California District Attorneys Association; Monterey

County District Attorney's Office - ODA - Salinas, CA; San Francisco Public

Defender; Vera Institute of Justice

Assembly Floor Vote: 50 - 6

See Comment 5 for amendments to be taken in Committee.

PURPOSE

This bill authorizes any city attorney of a general law city limited authority to prosecute misdemeanors committed within the city under specified circumstances if the legislative body of a city passes or an ordinance granting the prosecutorial authority to the city attorney.

Existing law states the city attorney of any general law city or chartered city within the county, with the consent of the district attorney, may prosecute any misdemeanor committed within the city arising out of violation of law. (Government Code § 41803.5 (a).)

Existing law provides that in any case in which the district attorney is granted any powers or access to information with regard to the prosecution of misdemeanors, this grant of powers or access to information shall be deemed to apply to any other officer charged with the duty of prosecuting misdemeanor charges in the state, as authorized by law. (Government Code § 41803.5 (b).)

Existing law requires whenever a city charter creates a city prosecutor office, or provides that a deputy city attorney shall act as city prosecutor, and charges such prosecutor with the duty, when

authorized by law, of prosecuting misdemeanor offenses arising out of violations of state laws, the city prosecutor may exercise the following powers:

- a) The city prosecutor shall prosecute all such misdemeanors committed within the city, and handle all appeals arising from it. The city prosecutor shall draw complaints for such misdemeanors, and shall prosecute all recognizances or bail bond forfeitures arising from or resulting from the commission of such offenses. (Government Code § 72193 (a).)
- b) Whenever any person applying for a writ of habeas corpus is held in custody by any peace officer of such city, charged with having committed within the city any misdemeanor, a copy of the application for such writ shall be served upon such city prosecutor at the time and in the manner provided by law for the service of writs of habeas corpus upon district attorneys. (Government Code § 72193 (c).)
- c) On behalf of the people, the prosecutor shall conduct all proceedings relating to such application. If the constitutionality of any law is questioned in any such habeas corpus proceeding, the city prosecutor shall immediately notify the city attorney who may take charge of the proceedings on behalf of the people, or become associated with the city prosecutor in the proceedings. (Government Code § 72193b (c).)

Existing law states the district attorney is the public prosecutor, except as otherwise provided by law. The public prosecutor shall attend the courts, and within his or her discretion shall initiate and conduct on behalf of the people all prosecutions for public offenses. (Government Code,§ 26500.)

This bill provides that notwithstanding the existing requirement that the district attorney consent, any general law city may prosecute any misdemeanor committed within the city arising out of a violation of state law provided that the legislative body of a city passes an ordinance granting that prosecutorial authority to the city attorney.

This bill provides that prosecutorial authority granted to a city attorney under this subdivision is limited to cases filed and adjudicated in designated collaborative justice courts that provide rehabilitation and support services for criminal defendants to reduce recidivism.

This bill provides that that notwithstanding any of the above, the district attorney of the county retains the authority to intervene at their discretion to serve as the primary lead prosecutor for any misdemeanor committed within the city arising out of violation of state law.

COMMENTS

1. Need for This Bill

According to the author:

Cities possess a unique understanding of the public safety challenges within their jurisdictions. However, in California, many cities do not have the ability to prosecute their own misdemeanors and must report to the county's District

Attorney's Office if a city attorney does not have consent to prosecute misdemeanors.

AB 2309 empowers our cities and restores autonomy to local governments by allowing city attorneys to prosecute state misdemeanors to respond swiftly and appropriately to the public safety challenges they face. Granting prosecutorial authority to cities is an acknowledgment of their ability to tailor law enforcement responses to the specific needs and priorities of their communities.

2. Prosecutorial Authority

Government Code Section 41803.5 only allows a city attorney to prosecute statewide crimes when the district attorney of a county consents. However, Government Code section 72193 grants city attorneys the authority to prosecute misdemeanors. It states:

Whenever the charter of any city creates the office of city prosecutor, or provides that a deputy city attorney shall act as city prosecutor, and charges such prosecutor with the duty, when authorized by law, of prosecuting misdemeanor offenses arising out of violations of state laws, the city prosecutor may exercise the following powers:

(a) The city prosecutor shall prosecute all such misdemeanors committed within the city, and handle all appeals arising from it. The city prosecutor shall draw complaints for such misdemeanors, and shall prosecute all recognizances or bail bond forfeitures arising from or resulting from the commission of such offenses. (Gov. Code §732193 (a).)

In counties like Los Angeles and San Francisco where progressive district attorneys opted not to prosecute lower level crimes, some cities have alleged a rise in minor crimes. In response, city attorneys began calling for the authority to prosecute misdemeanors themselves.

In 1996, the Attorney General issued an opinion explaining city attorney prosecutorial authority. Charter cities have governing documents adopted by City voters. General law cities mostly operate via municipal codes that are approved by the City Council. (See Cal. Const., art. XI, §§ 3, 5; Gov. Code, §§ 34450- 34462.)

While the prosecution of city ordinances is a local matter, the prosecution of all state laws, including state misdemeanor offenses, is a matter of statewide concern, wherever committed. Accordingly, it is only through legislative authorization that a city prosecutor, whether in a general law or charter city, may prosecute state misdemeanors. (See Montgomery v. Superior Court (1975) 46 Cal.App.3d 657; City of Merced v. County of Merced (1966) 240 Cal.App.2d 763; Oppenheimer v. Tamblyn (1959) 167 Cal.App.2d 158; 65 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 330, 332-333 (1982).) The prosecution of state misdemeanor offenses is assigned generally to the district attorney of each county. (Gov. Code, § 26500; 20 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 234 (1952).) However, city attorneys may also be authorized to prosecute such offenses within their respective cities. Section 41803.5, subdivision (a), applicable to both general law and charter cities, provides:

"With the consent of the district attorney of the county, the city attorney of any general law city or chartered city within the county may prosecute any misdemeanor committed within the city arising out of violation of state law. . . ."

Section 72193, applicable only to charter cities, states:

Whenever the charter of any city situated within a district for which a municipal court has been established creates the office of city prosecutor, or provides that a deputy city attorney shall act as city prosecutor, and charges such prosecutor with the duty, when authorized by law, of prosecuting misdemeanor offenses arising out of violations of state.

Consequently, when the provisions of section 72193 are implemented by a charter city, the city attorney has the primary duty of prosecuting state misdemeanors within the city, with the district attorney acting in a subsidiary or "backup" role. (See Menveg v. Municipal Court (1964) 226 Cal.App.2d 569, 571-572, quoting with approval our 1952 opinion, but noting that the Legislature may give the district attorney exclusive jurisdiction to prosecute violations of particular state laws. (1996 Cal. AG LEXIS 8, *1, 79 Ops. Cal. Atty. Gen. 46, 46.)

Existing law authorizes general law and charter cities may allow a city attorney to prosecute any statewide misdemeanor with permission from the District Attorney. However, charter cities may pass an amendment to the charter to specify whether a city attorney may prosecute any or all misdemeanors. This bill allows general law or charter cities, by passing an ordinance, to authorize a city attorney of a general law to prosecute any misdemeanor committed within that city when those cases are filed and adjudicated in the designated collaborative justice courts that provide rehabilitation and support services for criminal defendants to reduce recidivism.

3. Cases that are in collaborative court

What impact will a city prosecuting misdemeanors have on the collaborative courts in that county? Will it result in people who would have gotten cases dismissed or been granted probation taking spaces in collaborative courts?

4. City attorney prosecutor without explicit ok from District Attorney

This bill allows a city attorney, upon passing an ordinance, to prosecute misdemeanors within the city's boundaries when they are brought in designated collaborative cases.

Unlike earlier versions of this bill, this bill does explicitly say that the district attorney of the county retains the authority to intervene at their discretion

Does granting the District Attorney the authority to intervene take care of the issue about what happens if both the elected District Attorney and the city try to file on the same case and what happens if the crime is a wobbler that could have been filed as a felony if certain factors exist?

5. Amendment to be taken in Committee

The author has agreed to amend the bill to clarify that the city granted prosecutorial authority under this bill is required to pay for the cost of the rehabilitation and support services received by the defendant.

6. Argument in Support

The League of California Cities support this bill stating:

Current law allows city attorneys in general law cities or chartered cities to prosecute state law misdemeanors if they are provided consent by the county district attorney to do so. In December 2020, the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office issued a Special Directive 20-07 titled, "Misdemeanor Case Management" which listed certain misdemeanor offenses that will be declined or dismissed before arraignment, unless "exceptions or factors for consideration" exist to proceed. These offenses include:

- Trespassing
- Disturbing the peace
- Criminal threats
- Drinking in public
- Public intoxication
- Driving without a valid license
- Driving on a suspended license
- Drug and paraphernalia possession
- Minor in possession of alcohol
- Loitering
- Loitering to commit prostitution
- Resisting arrest

As a result of this directive, some cities in Los Angeles County that rely on the District Attorney's Office for the prosecution of their misdemeanors have explored avenues of handling their own prosecutions of misdemeanors by their city attorneys. Currently, around 10 cities in Los Angeles County, comprising around 50% of the population of the county, have the authority for their city attorneys to prosecute misdemeanor cases without the consent of the district attorney.

Repealing the consent requirement between the District Attorney and cities would allow cities to appropriately prioritize misdemeanor offenses that are a lower priority to a district attorney. This could include many of the misdemeanor retail theft and shoplifting offenses that are occurring in many cities around the state addressing the retail theft crisis.

6. Argument in Opposition

The California District Attorneys Association oppose this bill stating:

While we share your concern about the increase in crime and the lack of prosecution of some of these offenses by district attorneys – particularly lower

level and non-violent offenses that impact the quality of life in our communities – removing the consent requirement statewide could have unintended consequences. For example, without the consent of and coordination with the local district attorney, there could be situations involving a "race to the courthouse" to establish jurisdictional authority over a prosecution, or post-filing litigation concerning the proper prosecuting agency.

In addition, the district attorney is a constitutionally elected county official. And for good reason. District attorneys represent the government in criminal cases and are responsible for ensuring that justice is served by prosecuting individuals accused of committing crimes. They represent the people of their jurisdiction and have a duty to seek justice in every case, carefully evaluating the evidence presented and protecting the rights of the accused. As elected officeholders, they are accountable to the public and make decisions everyday about what type of crimes to prosecute, whom to bring charges against, and what punishment to seek. Delegating this important responsibility to city attorneys, who are not constitutionally elected officials, and without the consent and concurrence of the elected district attorney, could have larger consequences for the criminal justice system.